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ABSTRACT

Background: Open Cholecystectomy is still largely performed as an operation of choice for Gall stones. No doubt Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy is a gold standard, this option has limitations in periphery due to unavailability of equipment in our peripheral areas.

Objective: The objectives of our study is to analyse the outcome of open cholecystectomy without intraperitoneal drainage in terms of complications.

Material & Methods: The patient admitted to District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara from Oct 2015 to Feb 2017 were included in the study who underwent open cholecystectomy. Total of 144 patients were included in our study.

Results: Total 144 patients underwent open cholecystectomy without drain. 138 female (95.83%) and 6 male (4.17%) patients age of 12 to 60 years. The hospital stay in this series was 1-5days with average of 2days. Post-operative pyrexia was noted in 6%(8.4%). Major complication like biliary peritonitis, deep SSI, sub hepatic abscess, Walkman Walter syndrome were not observed. No mortality was observed in these cases. The overall complication rate and hospital stay significantly less than compared to open cholecystectomy with intraperitoneal drainage.

Conclusion: In general, open cholecystectomy without drain is associated with fewer incidences of wound and other complications, less postoperative discomfort, early mobilization that leads to decreased DVTs and shorter hospital stay with early return to work.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholecystectomy is removal of gall bladder and is mainly performed for symptomatic gallstones. Cholecystectomy through laparotomy with or without drainage has been the standard operation for gall bladder disease for the last 100 years. The drainage was initially introduced by Lanaganbach in 1882.

Although laparoscopic cholecystectomy was introduced in 1987, it is now accepted as a gold standard treatment globally and with good acceptable in developing countries.

The benefits of drain are that they allow the egression of bile leaking from gall bladder bed, cystic duct or damaged bile duct, as well as blood or exudates resulting from surgical trauma. Even if they are not helping to drain these fluids completely, they warn the surgeon early of such leakage and permit for early and necessary steps to deal with these complications. On the contrary, small amounts of fluids are effectively absorbed by the peritoneum, while leakage of large amount, sufficient to be of any clinical significance is uncommon and if happens the drain is sometimes found ineffective as drain often is blocked by omental plug or blood clots. Furthermore, the drain have been incriminated for a number of Complications. A sterile collection gets infected, and at time the intestinal fistula formation.

Despite the fact that the cholecystectomy without drainage referred to as ideal, cholecystectomy without drain is a matter of considerable debate. Recently it has been shown that drainage in cholecystectomy is associated with more complications than those without drain. Therefore, drain increases harm to the patient without providing any additional benefits for the patient in uncomplicated elective open cholecystectomy.

The objectives of our study is to analyse the outcome of open cholecystectomy without intraperitoneal drainage in terms of complications.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The patient admitted to District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara from Oct 2015 to Feb 2017 were included in the study who underwent open cholecystectomy. Total of 144 patients were included in our study.

The diagnosis was based on detailed history, thorough clinical examination and ultrasound abdomen. These patients were subjected to required pre-operative investigations. After acquiring informed consent, elective cholecystectomy was performed. All cases with cholelithiasis were included in the study form age 20-60 years.
Excluded were all the cases with Diabetes, immunocompromised cases, severe intraoperative bleeding, acute cholecystitis, severe intraoperative adhesions were excluded from study, emphysema gall bladder, choledoucholithitis, porcelain gall bladder were excluded. Incision was used.

- Right subcostal classical 60%
- Transverse right subcostal 10%
- Mini cholecystectomy 30%

The skin subcutaneous fascia and external oblique aponeurosis were cut-opened in the line of incision.

In 60% of cases rectus abdomen muscles was retracted medially and only flat muscles laterally to rectus abdomen were cut opened.

After sponge packing and retraction, dissection was completed in calot's triangle. Cystic duct and artery were directly tied separately. Gall bladder was dissected off its bed. Homeostasis secured using diathermy. After removal of gall bladder, a clean sponge was placed in gall bladder fossa and near the tied stump of cystic artery and cystic duct for five minutes. If clean sponge remained dry of blood or bile for five minutes, no drain was placed. A greater omental plug was placed in the gall bladder fossa and stump and wound closed back in layers. Subcutaneous sutures were applied and dressing done. In the next day an ultrasound done to see any collection near the gall bladder bed near or in the sub hepatic area. If no collection was found the patient was discharged with regular, follow up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seroma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial wound infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep wound infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary leakage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative fever</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 25 years old male patient was re explored on 6th day due to collection on Ultrasound. Active search was done but no injury was identified, drain was placed. The drain was later on removed when the drainage was negligible.

Another 35 years female patient developed small amount of collection, was readmitted on 10th post-operative day but she responded to conservative treatment.

**RESULTS**

Total 144 patients underwent open cholecystectomy without intraperitoneal drainage for uncomplicated cholelithiasis. There were 138 female (95.83%) and 6 male (4.17%) patients with male to female ratio of 1:23. The age was from 12 to 60 years. The mean operating time was 30 minutes. The hospital stay in this series was 1-5 days with average of 2 days.

Post operative pyrexia was noted in 6%(8.4%) (table 1) All the patients responded to oral antibiotics.

Major complication like biliary peritonitis, deep SSI, sub hepatic abscess, Walkman Walter syndrome were not observed. No mortality was observed in these cases. The overall complication rate and hospital stay significantly less than compared to open cholecystectomy with intraperitoneal drainage.
DISCUSSION
Since the first successful elective cholecystectomy in 1988 by Langenback, the issue of the use of routine drainage is still unsolved needing a clear answer.

In 1915 Yacht et al., described that there is no need to drain the peritoneal cavity and nothing extra is to be gained by leaving drains in the fossa after removing gall bladder. Any leakage of blood and bile from gall bladder fossa is absorbed by the peritoneum. The eyes of the drain gets plugged with fibrinous exudates and clotted blood. The practice of using drain is based on tradition rather than any scientific fact. Using no drain technique at our local set up we achieve results almost identical to other published studies. The greater incidence of infective complications after cholecystectomy are due to drain. Probably it allow bacteria to gain access to gall bladder bed or abdominal wall that predisposes to contamination and infection. The mean post operative stay after open cholecystectomy was 3.5 days which is shorter than cholecystectomy with drainage. The major reason for drained cholecystectomy is fear of bile leakage leading to subphaptic collection, Abscess, peritonitis, intra abdominal haemorrhage and Walt man, Walter syndrome. Many cases have been reported where these drains failed to prevent or reveal these complications.

The belief that surgical drains serve as an early warning of bile leakage, impending bile peritonitis or intrabdomenal haemorrhage is also disputed. Many cases have occurred where bile peritonitis has occurred weeks after open cholecystectomy with drainage. Hence truly stated by Frederik collier “bile is not too educated to climb the drain”. Open cholecystectomy without drain has similar mortality but low morbidity compared to drained cholecystectomy. The complication rate in our study was 19%, which is low as compared to reported of 18to28%, when drain is used after cholecystectomy. Overall current consensus is that prophylactic drain after uncomplicated gastrointestinal surgery should have no place in surgical practice. Meta-analysis conducted by S. Schule et al shown that drainage after cholecystectomy offers no advantages instead it is associated with increased rate of infection complications.

CONCLUSION
In general, open cholecystectomy without drain is associated with fewer incidences of wound and other complications, less post operative discomfort, early mobilization which leads to decreased DVTs and shorter hospital stay with early return to work. Results of Neither ours nor other studies contradict the use of drains in the presence of infection or bile leakage.
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ABSTRACT

Background: The most common cause of male infertility is varicocele, and varicocele is the most common correctable cause of male factor infertility. In this article we reviewed the concept of varicocele in terms of its diagnosis, method of treatment, indications for treatment, treatment outcomes.

Objectives: The main purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of varicocelectomy on male semen parameters and infertility.

Material & Methods: This observational, prospective study was conducted in the Department of Surgery, Medical Teaching Institute Mardan Medical complex KPK as well as in the private clinical practice, from Feb 2017 to December 2017, 98 consecutive patients having large size varicocele were included for the study. The records and database of 98 patients who underwent varicocele repair for subfertility were reviewed prospectively. All men had at least 2 preoperative semen analyses as well as semen testing at 3 and 6 months postoperatively.

Results: Those 98 patients who met the study inclusion criteria mean sperm counts increased significantly by 3 and 6 months after varicocelectomy (by 49% and 31% by 3 and 6 months, p = 0.02 and 0.04, respectively). After 6 months there were no further statistically significant differences in the improvement in semen volume, motility, count or total motile count comparing the results at 3, 6 months postoperatively.

Conclusions: Sperm parameters and chances of pregnancy improve by 3 and 6 months after varicocele repair.

Key Words: varicocele, sperm parameters, pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Infertility is a major cause of marital disharmony and instability, and may be able to lead to divorce. Male infertility is a growing concern in developing countries around the world. The most common correctable cause of male infertility is varicocele. Varicocele is a common scrotal condition characterized by the abnormal elongation and enlargement of the network of veins leaving the testis, that join to form the testicular vein. The incidence is reported to be as high as 20-24% in the adult male population, with a higher prevalence in the left side. The condition is more common in infertile men, in which it affects 25-40% of men with abnormal semen analysis.

A number of theories have been proposed to explain the observed pathophysiology of varicoceles. These include disordered testicular thermoregulation, hypoxia resulting from blood stagnation in the spermatic veins, and elevated levels of sperm-derived reactive oxygen species. The exact association between reduced male fertility and varicocele is not known because prospective randomized studies on varicocele treatment in adults have given conflicting results. The largest study indicated a benefit. Whereas meta-analysis of most of the prospective randomized trials did not.

Based on current evidence, both the American Urological Association (AUA) and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) have recommended varicocele repair for infertile men with a clinical varicocele and one or more abnormal semen parameters. However in previous studies, selection criteria based on the clinical and ultrasonographic grade of varicocele did not take into consideration a homogeneous population. It would be probable that a lower grade of varicocele does not affect fertility as well as the quality of seminal parameters.

For this reason, our purpose was to study a series of infertile males with high grade varicocele before and after surgical treatment. Our aim was to obtain reliable results from a homogeneous and selected patient population.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
From February 2017 to December 2017, we studied 98 patients with high grade (left > right) varicocele who presented with infertility.
The inclusion criteria for patients were: (a) infertility persisting for more than 1 year despite regular, unprotected intercourse; (b) abnormal semen parameters as assessed by World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines 2010; (c) no other infertility-related disease; and (d) no obvious causes of infertility in the Female’s partner. Men with recurrent varicocele, maledescended testis, azoospermia, severe oligozoospermia (<5 million sperm/mL), evidence of genital tract infection were excluded. The partner was studied in all cases to rule out any cause of infertility (such as anovulation, endometriosis, tubal blockage, etc.) The study was approved by the ethics review board of the institution and all men signed an informed consent prior to participating. Patient information for this study remained confidential and within the institution.

As per the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and Society for Male Reproduction and Urology’s Practice Committee report, varicoceles should be treated when each of the following criteria are met.11, 12

I. The varicocele is palpable on physical examination of the scrotum.

II. The couple has known infertility.

III. The female partner has normal fertility or a potentially treatable cause of infertility.

IV. The male partner has abnormal semen parameters.

All men underwent a standard diagnostic infertility evaluation, including a detailed history and a thorough physical examination, blood tests, including hormonal assay like testosterone, FSH, LH level when needed according to seminal and clinical features and color Doppler ultrasound of the scrotum. The examination was performed after the patient stood for various minutes in a warm room; the scrotum was inspected and palpated in the upright position. Based on a physical examination, The varicoceles were classified into right, left or bilateral and graded according to the system of Dubin and Amelar as follows: grade 3, visible and palpable at rest; grade 2, palpable at rest, but not visible; grade 1, palpable during Valsalva maneuver but not otherwise; and subclinical varicoceles, not palpable or visible at rest or during Valsalva maneuver but demonstrable by special tests not detectable on clinical examination (Doppler ultrasound studies).13

Infertility was defined, according to the WHO, as the inability of a sexually active, non-contracepting couple to achieve pregnancy in one year.14 At least two preoperative semen analyses with two week apart, were obtained by masturbation after 3-5 days of abstinence from sexual activity, and the average value was considered.

The patients underwent spermatic vein ligation through a subinguinal approach under spinal anesthesia. In bilateral cases both side at the same operation. About 3-4 cm incision given above the medial attachment of inguinal ligament without opening of inguinal canal by standard dissection spermatic cord identified and the veins identified, isolated and ligated as high as possible. Hemostasis secured and wound closed in layers.

All the enrolled patients fulfilled the study inclusion criteria, were counseled to have unprotected intercourse during the ovulation period in order to maximize the probability of getting pregnancy during post intervention. The achievement of pregnancy and semen parameters were recorded during the postoperative period. Postoperative semen analyses were obtained 3, 6 months after surgery.

**Statistical analysis**
All the information and data obtained were entered into a structured proforma, constructed for the purpose of the study. This included the patient’s demographics, duration of infertility, frequency of coitus, history of previous conception, use of drugs and contraceptives. The data was analyzed using the SPSS 20. Categorical data were examined by the chi-square test; continuous variables were tested by t-test assuming p < 0.05 as significant.

**RESULTS**
All 98 patients had 3rd grade varicocele. Majority patients have varicocele on left side (left side-84, right side-10, bilat-4). The patients’ mean age was 33.5 years (range 18-49 years). The mean age of the partners was 24.3 years (range 16-43 years). The mean infertility period was 31.1 months (range 12-50 months). The mean study follow-up time was 8.4 months (range 06-18 months). No patient reported previous episodes of cryptorchidism, hydrocele, or testicular trauma, nor had they undergone surgery of the urogenital tract. No other causes of infertility were found.
During postoperative period 8 patients were produce offspring while infertility is defined as one dropout from study, 3 patient developed recurrent varicocele (one at 2.5 month and other two at 5 months) and 5 patients gone abroad to gulf countries and they did not came at 3 and 6 months for semen testing. The remaining 90 patients characteristic were as follow:

The mean preoperative sperm count was 16.7x10⁶/mL compared to 20.5x10⁶/mL in the postoperative period (p < 0.02). The mean preoperative percentage of progressive sperm motility was 13% compared to 18.7% in the postoperative period (p < 0.01). The mean preoperative percentage of normal sperm morphology was 9.2% compared to 18.4% in the postoperative period (p < 0.001) (Table-1).

During the first 3-month postoperative period, 14 couples got pregnancy (15.5%). In the following 3 months (total upto 6 months) 5 more pregnancies occurred. The stratification of pregnancies by semester showed a significantly higher rate during the first postoperative period (p< 0.001). After surgery, 3 patients developed recurrent varicocele within 6 months of surgery, which was excluded from the study. No others minor or major postoperative complications were registered. All patients were discharged within 24 hours of surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings confirm that there was an improvement in semen parameters following varicocelectomy in infertile males, in this resource challenged region, this could be one of the most cost effective methods for achieving spontaneous pregnancy. Fertility is the natural capacity to produce offspring while infertility is defined as one year of inability to conceive in spite of non contraceptive, unprotected intercourse in the fertile phase of the maternal cycle. The main findings from this study were that varicocelectomy did improve the count, motility, morphology, volume, and density of semen in infertile males. This is in agreement with several other studies done in, Asia, Africa and other continents.

Varicocelectomy provides a cheaper (natural) method of achieving conception. Improvement in semen parameters was observed after high ligation varicocelectomy. A study that evaluated the clinical outcomes of 118 infertile couples with isolated asthenospermia (less than 50% motile sperm) reported a significant increase in sperm motility by 9.8% (p=0.0002). Agarwal et al. performed a meta-analysis to determine the efficacy of surgical varicocelectomy (high ligation or inguinal microsurgery) in improving semen parameters from 17 studies including both RCTs and observational studies. The study population was infertile men with clinically palpable unilateral or bilateral varicocele and at least one abnormal semen parameter. The results showed that the sperm concentration increased by 12.03×10⁶/mL (95% CI, 5.71-18.35; p=0.0002) and motility increased by 11.72% (95% CI, 4.33-19.12; p=0.002) after high ligation varicocelectomy. The improvement in World Health Organization sperm morphology was 3.16% (95% CI, 0.72 to 5.60; p<0.01) after both microsurgery and high ligation varicocelectomy. These objective improvements in semen parameters might support the idea that varicocelectomy could increase the spontaneous pregnancy rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients characteristic</th>
<th>Pre-op period</th>
<th>post-op period(3&amp;6months)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancies</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sperm count</td>
<td>16.7x10⁶/mL</td>
<td>20.5x10⁶/mL</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean percentage of progressive sperm motility</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean percentage of normal sperm morphology</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA-not applicable
In our study 14 couples got pregnancy in first 3 months post intervention and 5 couples achieved pregnancy in the following 3 months (total 19 couples in 6 months postop) which make 21.1%, this is similar to the study of other researcher on this topic. The pregnancy rate in patients who have undergone varicocelectomy increases substantially from 14% with no treatment to 29.7% after varicocelectomy. Varicocelectomy eliminates venous stasis and returns testicular blood flow to the original state.

Diegidio et al., reviewed 33 studies and returns testicular blood flow to the original state. Treatment to 29.7% after varicocelectomy.

In the review, they compared cost-effectiveness and concluded that varicocelectomy is a cost effective treatment modality for infertility.

Recently, a well-designed randomized clinical trial (RCT) was introduced in 2011 and, subsequently, a novel meta-analysis was provided in 2012. These studies could be important evidence that varicocele repair in men from couples with otherwise unexplained subfertility may improve pregnancy outcome. At present, varicocele repair is regarded in influential clinical guidelines as a standard treatment modality in infertile men with clinical varicocele and abnormal semen parameters.

Most of the patients in our study presented in the third and fourth decade of their lives. This may be because the study was targeted at the infertile male and that age is the usual age in the study environment was culturally accepted for marriage and procreation. The age statistics are similar to those published from similar studies in other part of the world.

In this study, three patients developed recurrent varicocele which make 3.2% while in literature the various surgical techniques for treating varicoceles included the retroperitoneal approach, conventional inguinal, laparoscopic, radiographic, microscopic inguinal and subinguinal approach. The failure rate for most of these procedures range from 3-15%, except for the microscopic approach with a failure rate of 1%, this necessitated the choice of this procedure.

CONCLUSION

Sperm parameters and the chances of procreation improve by 3 and 6 months after varicocelectomy repair. This finding should allow physicians to decide quickly if varicocelectomy has been effective and then if required, plan on the use of other therapies to manage the couple's infertility.
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