DOI: https://doi.org/10.52206/jsmc.2024.14.2.951
OPEN ACCESS

Quality Control in Regional Blood Center QC Laboratory

Syed Muzammil Shah

Saidu Medical College, Saidu Sharif, Swat, Pakistan.

This article may be cited as: Shah SM. Quality Control in Regional Blood Center QC Laboratory. J Saidu Med Coll Swat 2024;14(2):68-69. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52206/jsmc.2024.14.2.951

Implementing comprehensive quality control measures in Regional Blood Center (RBC) laboratory services is indispensable for ensuring the accuracy, reliability, and consistency of transfusion-transmitted infectious screening results. These measures not only identify and address potential issues but also uphold the highest standards in analysis and testing. Below, we explore deeper into the critical aspects of quality control in laboratory services especially in the Regional Blood Center laboratory followed by American association of blood banking.

Staff Training, Skills, and Competency

The foundation of any high-functioning RBC laboratory is its staff. Ensuring that personnel are well-qualified, well-trained, and demonstrate competency in their respective areas is paramount. Continuous professional development is crucial, necessitating regular updates and training on new equipment, technologies, and safety protocols. This investment in staff proficiency safeguards the integrity of RBC laboratory QC results.

Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)

QA and QC are twin pillars essential to the RBC laboratory's operational integrity. Internal quality control measures, performed with each assay, serve as immediate checks on the analytical process, ensuring consistency and accuracy.[1] External quality controls, such as proficiency testing and interlaboratory comparison programs, provide an independent assessment of the RBC laboratory's performance, offering benchmarks against peers and high standards.

Accreditation and Certification

Achieving and maintaining accreditation from recognized national and international bodies affirms a RBC laboratory's commitment to quality and competence. Accreditation processes evaluate a laboratory against rigorous standards, ensuring that it meets specific quality and operational benchmarks. This recognition is crucial for laboratory credibility and for fostering trust among clients and the public.[2]

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

The establishment and adherence to detailed, up-to-date Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are crucial for maintaining testing consistency and reliability. SOPs ensure that all staff members, regardless of experience level, can perform tests and procedures in a consistent manner, reducing variability and enhancing reproducibility.[3]

Instrument Calibration and Maintenance

The accuracy and reliability of RBC laboratory results are directly tied to the performance of its instruments. Regular, documented calibration against traceable standards ensures that instruments perform within specified parameters. Similarly, routine maintenance prevents unexpected failures and inaccuracies, contributing to the overall reliability of laboratory analyses.[4]

Sample Handling and Tracking

Meticulous sample identification, handling, and tracking systems are essential to mitigate errors and prevent contamination. The adoption of barcoding and automated tracking systems can significantly reduce the risk of sample mix-ups, ensuring that results are accurately matched to the correct specimens.

Continuous Improvement

A culture of continuous improvement is vital for the sustained success of laboratory operations. This involves regular reviews of processes, procedures, and outcomes to identify inefficiencies, errors, or areas for technological enhancement. Encouraging innovation and adaptability among staff can lead to process optimizations and advancements in RBC laboratory methodologies.

By rigorously implementing and maintaining these quality control measures, laboratories can significantly enhance the reliability and accuracy of their testing services. This, in turn, contributes to the integrity and trustworthiness of scientific research and healthcare delivery, ultimately benefiting society at large.

REFERENCES

1. Rana BK, Yadav D, Yadav S. Strategic Association for Quality Excellence: Coordination Between GMP, GLP, GCP, Metrology, QC, and QA. 2024. In: Bhatnagar A, Yadav S, Achanta V, Harmes-Liedtke U, Rab S. (eds) Handbook of Quality System, Accreditation and Conformity Assessment. Springer, Singapore. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-4637-2_29-1

Correspondence: Dr. Syed Muzammil Shah
Department of Pathology,
Saidu Medical College, Saidu Sharif, Swat, Pakistan.
Email: smuzammilshah@gmail.com

Received: April 19th, 2024 - Accepted: April 21st, 2024

2. Strengthening and Sustaining a Network of Public and Animal Health Clinical Laboratories in Pakistan: Proceedings of a Joint Workshop. Pakistan Academy of Sciences; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US) 2023 Mar 30. PMID: 37071746. Bookshelf ID: NBK590661. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17226/25288
3. Arnold JE, Camus MS, Frreman KP, Giori L, Hooijberg EH, Jeffery U, et al. ASVCP guidelines: principles of quality assurance and standards for veterinary clinical pathology (version 3.0): developed by the American Society for Veterinary Clinical Pathology's (ASVCP) Quality Assurance and Laboratory Standards (QALS) Committee. Vet Clin Pathol 2019 Dec 48(4):542-618. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/vcp.12810.
4. Martins AB, Farinha JT, Cardoso AM. Calibration and certification of industrial sensorsa global review. WSEAS Transections on Systems and Control 2020;394-416. DOI: https://doi.org/10.37394/23203.2020.15.41